# Permanent and mobile survey platforms, data and modelling needs in UK Polar ice sheet and glacier research



#### Bernd Kulessa

College of Science, Swansea University b.kulessa@swansea.ac.uk

With thanks for input from: Jonathan Bamber, Alex Brisbourne and BAS colleagues, Mike Bentley, Peter Clarke, Richard Essery, David J. Evans, John Hiemstra, Matt King, Robert Larter, Matt Nolan, Martin Siegert, Pippa Whitehouse

## Contribution of Glaciers and Ice Sheets to Sea Level Change



Cumulative ice mass loss from glacier and ice sheets (in sea level equivalent) is 1.0 to 1.4 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> for 1993-2009 and 1.2 to 2.2 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> for 2005-2009.

Vaughan, D.G., J.C. Comiso, I. Allison, J. Carrasco, G. Kaser, R. Kwok, P. Mote, T. Murray, F. Paul, J. Ren, E. Rignot, O. Solomina, K. Steffen and T. Zhang, 2013: Observations: Cryosphere. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

#### Imperative that we get ice sheet and glacier mass balance right

Source	1993–2010								
Observed contributions to global mean sea level (GMSL) rise									
Thermal expansion	1.1 [0.8 to 1.4]								
Glaciers except in Greenland and Antarctica <sup>a</sup>	0.76 [0.39 to 1.13]								
Glaciers in Greenland <sup>a</sup>	0.10 [0.07 to 0.13] <sup>b</sup>								
Greenland ice sheet	0.33 [0.25 to 0.41]								
Antarctic ice sheet	0.27 [0.16 to 0.38]								
Land water storage	0.38 [0.26 to 0.49]								
Total of contributions	2.8 [2.3 to 3.4]								
Observed GMSL rise	3.2 [2.8 to 3.6]								

Church, J.A., P.U. Clark, A. Cazenave, J.M. Gregory, S. Jevrejeva, A. Levermann, M.A. Merrifield, G.A. Milne, R.S. Nerem, P.D. Nunn, A.J. Payne, W.T. Pfeffer, D. Stammer and A.S. Unnikrishnan, 2013: Sea Level Change. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

#### Ice grounded below sea level vulnerable to rapid retreat



Vaughan, D.G., J.C. Comiso, I. Allison, J. Carrasco, G. Kaser, R. Kwok, P. Mote, T. Murray, F. Paul, J. Ren, E. Rignot, O. Solomina, K. Steffen and T. Zhang, 2013: Observations: Cryosphere. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

Anandakrishnan, S., Blankenship, D. D., Alley, R. B. & Stoffa, P. L. Influence of subglacial geology on the position of a West Antarctic ice stream from seismic observations. *Nature* 394, 62-65 (1998).

Bell, R. E. *et al.* Influence of subglacial geology on the onset of a West Antarctic ice stream from aerogeophysical observations. *Nature* 394, 58-62 (1998).



10

100

1000

Vaughan, D.G., J.C. Comiso, I. Allison, J. Carrasco, G. Kaser, K. Kwok, P. Mote, I. Murray, F. Paul, J. Ren, E. Righot, O. Solomina, K. Steffen and T. Zhang, 2013: Observations: Cryosphere. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

#### Lithospheric architecture and tectonics matter



Bingham, R. G. et al. Inland thinning of West Antarctic Ice Sheet steered along subglacial rifts. Nature 487, 468-471 (2012).

b

# Scientific challenges

- Lithospheric architecture of Antarctic and Arctic continents
- Global GIA solutions for past and present ice sheets
- Long-term geodynamic evolution of polar gateways and mantle processes

- Basal heat flux and ice sheet groundwater interactions
- Variability in shallow basal conditions and ice dynamics between Antarctic and Arctic ice sheets / masses

• Holocene histories as ice-sheet model constraints

#### Need for deployments of GPS units in Antarctica



Lack of confidence in models of Antarctic glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) still dominates the uncertainty associated with estimates of ice sheet mass change from GRACE

#### <u>Differences</u> in predicted GIA uplift between the two most recent models

- Easily measurable with GPS
- Note vast gaps in East Antarctica (circled)
- Same rock outcrops provide opportunity for dating of ice sheet retreat and co-location (or near-location) with broadband seismometers (see following page)

Symbols: existing (circles) and planned (squares) GPS sites

## Need for deployments of seismic units in Antarctica



We currently only have a very coarse view of Earth structure

 necessary for predicting the solid Earth response to ice load changes

<u>Dump of entire IRIS archive</u>
 significant data gaps are circled

## Need for <u>detailed</u> GPS/seismic deployments in Antarctica



- Characterisation of much of Antarctica's crustal/lithospheric structure is lacking
- Tectonically complex regions with little data
- Antarctic Peninsula 20<sup>th</sup> Century volcanism largely unexplored

#### Why do we need to understand the detailed Earth structure?

3d structure and upper mantle viscosity have 1<sup>st</sup>-order controls on GIA

Example: Current rapid ice loss in the Antarctic Peninsula probes the upper mantle in a very well-observed way, but we only have sparse measurements [Nield et al., EPSL, accepted]

• compare the complex pattern of predicted uplift with data density (see figure)

#### GIA and global water transport also impact geo-centre velocities, → But large discrepancies between models and measurements



Figure 2 | Unfiltered GIA geoid height trends. a, Estimated in this study. b, Predicted by ICE-5G/IJ05/VM2 model.

# Wu, X. and 8 others, 2010. Simultaneous estimation of global present-day water transport and glacial isostatic adjustment, Nature Geoscience, doi: 10.1038/NGEO938.

## **Existing BAS Airborne Geophysics Capability**

#### Twin Otter with airborne geophysics certification:

- High-precision GPS for positioning
- Magnetometer and fluxgate magnetometer
- Radar and laser altimeters
- Ice penetrating radar (5 km penetration; 8 m resolution)
- Short-wave/thermal/near-visible IR hyperspectral imaging
- Gravimeter
- DSLR/HD video
- $\rightarrow$  Powerful but under-used facility
- $\rightarrow$  Should be used more widely incl. non-cryosphere applications (?)

## **Existing UK Ground-based Geophysics Capability**

- NERC GEF / SeisUK (High-freq. seismics, GPR, DGPSs)
- Various university instrument pools (especially multi-channel seismics, GPR, DGPSs)
- BAS instrument pool (96-channel seismic incl. shallow drill, GPR, low-res high-power and phase-sensitive radars, DGPSs)

#### At BAS and selected universities:

- Static hot water drilling and ice coring facilities
- Selected borehole instrumentation
- UK at forefront of autonomous probe developments

## Need for geothermal heat flux measurements

# <u>Standard deviation</u> of three Antarctic geothermal heat flux distributions



Van Liefferinge and Pattyn, Climate of the Past (2013)

- Large uncertainties associated with geothermal heat flux (see figure)
- Very few direct observations

#### Why important?

- Provides a boundary condition for ice sheet dynamics
- And can be used to infer lithosphere/upper mantle rheological properties

Boulton, G. S., Hagdorn, M., Maillot, P. B. & Zatsepin, S. Drainage beneath ice sheets: groundwater-channel coupling, and the origin of esker systems from former ice sheets. Quaternary Science Reviews 28, 621-638 (2009).



Christoffersen, P., Bougamont, M., Carter, S. P., Fricker, H. A. & Tulaczyk, S. Significant groundwater contribution to Antarctic ice streams hydrologic budget. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 2014GL059250 (2014).

## Need for detailed characterisation, Example 1: (Shallow) ice substrates exert first-order control on ice flow



Vaughan, D. G., A. M. Smith, P. C. Nath, and E. L. Meur (2003), Acoustic impedance and basal shear stress beneath four Antarctic ice streams, *Ann Glaciol.*, *36*, 225-232.

# Need for detailed characterisation, Example 2: Anisotropic ice substrates exerts first-order control on ice flow



Martin, C., G. H. Gudmundsson, H. D. Pritchard, and O. Gagliardini (2009), On the effects of anisotropic rheology on ice flow, internal structure, and the age-depth relationship at ice divides, J. Geophys. Res., 114, F04001.

Wang, W., H. J. Zwally, C. L. Hulbe, M. J. Siegert, and I. Joughin (2003),

Anisotropic ice flow leading to the onset of Ice Stream D, West Antarctica: numerical modelling

based on the observations from

Byrd Station borehole, Ann. Glaciol., 37, 397-403.

## Need for detailed characterisation, Example 3: Marine ice critically stabilises Antarctic ice shelves



Kulessa, B., D. Jansen, A. J. Luckman, E. C. King, and P. R. Sammonds. Marine ice stabilises a large Antarctic ice shelf. *Nature Communications*, in press.

Need for detailed characterisation, Example 4: Characterisation of Holocene ice sheet histories as recorded by sedimentary legacies



Pollard, D. & DeConto, R. M. Modelling West Antarctic ice sheet growth and collapse through the past five million years. *Nature* 458, 329-332 (2009).



# Requirements to compete with international state of science and technology

• Enhanced range and coverage

→ Longer-range aircraft, large-scale UAV developments

- Detailed surveying of key inaccessible areas (e.g. outlet glaciers)
  → Helicopter deployments, small-scale UAV developments
- Better data through improved instrumentation, e.g.
  - Higher resolution airborne gravity systems
  - Airborne low-frequency radar, for both fixed-wing and helicopter
  - Airborne accumulation radar for sea-ice and ice sheets.
  - Airborne swath imaging radar focusing both along-track and cross-track

#### https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iLQEuUy-\_mQ



- Cryosphere scientists are traditionally 'slow' at adapting geophysical techniques from other areas of earth sciences / practice
  - → considerable scope for future developments and applications, especially as regards active-source and passive seismic techniques

## **UK Ground-based Geophysics Capability: Requirements**

- Characterisation of electrical conductivity structure
  → Magnetotellurics (GEF?)
- Rapid flexible data collection over large areas
  → Vibrator truck with p- and s-wave capability + streamers
- Detailed characterisation of ice (anisotropy) and substrate
  → High-frequency passive seismic stations
  - $\rightarrow$  2.5-3km depth capability, transportable hot-water drill
  - $\rightarrow$  Portable downhole instrument strings
- Sediment characterisation (exposed, subglacial, grounding lines)
  → Dedicated airborne / UAV radar sounder for exposed sediments
  → Autonomous probes for subglacial sub- ice shelf deployment



#### Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research

About	Science & Data	News	Events	Antarctic Treaty	Antarctic Information	International Polar Year	Publications	Communication and Education	Contacts
-------	-------------------	------	--------	---------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------	--------------------------------	----------



#### Welcome to SCAR

Welcome to the Home Page of the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research (SCAR), an inter-disciplinary committee of the International Council for Science (ICSU) . SCAR is charged with initiating, developing and coordinating high quality international scientific research in the Antarctic region, and on the role of the Antarctic region in the Earth system. The scientific business of SCAR is conducted by its Standing Scientific Groups which represent the scientific disciplines active in Antarctic research and report to SCAR.

Search

Members area

Gol

Home

In addition to carrying out its primary scientific role, SCAR also provides objective and independent scientific advice to the Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meetings and other organizations on issues of science and conservation affecting the management of Antarctica and the Southern Ocean. In that role, SCAR has made numerous recommendations on a variety of matters, most of which have been incorporated into Antarctic Treaty instruments. Foremost amongst these have been the advice provided for the many international agreements which provide protection for the ecology and environment of the Antarctic.

SCAR meets every two years to conduct its administrative business at the SCAR Delegates Meeting. At these meetings the members of SCAR, through their appointed Delegates, are responsible for approving SCAR finances, and formulating SCAR policy and strategy. They also elect an Executive Committee from among themselves which is responsible for the day-to-day administration of SCAR through its Secretariat at the Scott Polar Research Institute in Cambridge, England. The Executive Committee comprises the President, Past-President, four Vice-Presidents and the SCAR Executive Director. The SCAR Secretariat is staffed by the Executive Director, Executive Officer and an Administrative Assistant.

SCAR also holds, prior to the Delegates Meeting, a major Open Science Conference to draw attention to Antarctic issues, along with meetings of the Standing Scientific Groups that are designed to finalise the Science Programmes for eventual approval by the Delegates.

Subscribe to SCAR news (a) (or follow the link to amend your existing subscription).

#### Highlights:



2014 SCAR and COMNAP Antarctic Research Fellowships and CCAMLR Scientific Scholarships

Applications now open for the 2014 SCAR and COMNAP Fellowships, and CCAMLR Scholarships.

The deadline for SCAR and COMNAP Fellowships is 4 June 2014.

- Establishment of a SCAR-type organisation for Arctic research incl. solid earth community
- Enhanced European linkages and industry partnerships could be transformative for Arctic research

# Technological / organisational needs: Summary

• Permanent GPS and seismic arrays in Antarctica and Arctic

- UK airborne geophysics capability for sub-ice characterisation
- UAV technology

- New on-ground geophysical technology
- Transportable hot-water drill and borehole instrumentation

- Transfer of state-of-the-science geophysical techniques
- Integration across scientific communities and industry